

Effective Interventions for Men Who Have Sex With Men

Literature Summary

Individual-Level

Fisher D, Ryan R, et al. (1999). Using a community partnership and motivational interviewing to serve HIV+ gay and bisexual men. *National HIV Prevention Conference, 1999* [Abstract no. 680].

HIV+	Preliminary report. 107 HIV+ MSM who had anal sex in preceding 4 months with a male partner. Using motivational interviewing, assess values, beliefs, attitudes, and details on 4 most recent anal sex partners. In discussion, highlight discrepancies between values, beliefs, and risky sexual behaviors.	Six-month follow-up data show a 31% reduction in the proportions of participants reporting unprotected anal sex with a partner of negative or unknown serostatus.
------	--	---

Dilley JW, Woods WJ, Sabatino, J, et al. (2002). Changing Sexual Behavior Among Gay Male Repeat Testers for HIV: A Randomized, Controlled Trial of a Single-Session Intervention. *JAIDS* 30: 177-186.

Repeat testers	Randomized, controlled counseling intervention. Conducted at anonymous testing site in San Francisco. N=248 MSM with history of at least one previous negative HIV test result and self-reported UAI in last 12 months with partners of unknown or discordant status. Intervention component focused on self-justifications (thoughts, attitudes, or beliefs that allow the participant to engage in high-risk behaviors) at most recent UAI. Two intervention groups received standard HIV test counseling plus the intervention (one group also had sexual diary). Two control groups received only standard HIV test counseling (one group also had sexual diary). Counselors in intervention arm were licensed mental health professionals. Intervention counseling occurred between pre-test and post-test session. Intervention session lasted about 1 hour.	Compared to control participants, intervention participants reported decreased UAI with non-primary partners of unknown or discordant HIV status at 6 and 12 months (from 66% to 21% at 6 months and to 26% at 12 months). Overall retention at 6 and 12 months was 87% and 83%, respectively.
----------------	--	--

Effective Interventions for Men Who Have Sex With Men

Group-Level

Choi K-H, Lew S, Vittinghoff E, et al. (1996). The efficacy of brief group counseling in HIV risk reduction among homosexual Asian and Pacific Islander men. <i>AIDS</i> 10: 81-87.		
POC (API)	Brief group counseling for self-identified gay API in SF. N = 329 (208 intervention, 121 control). Randomized in single-session, 3-hr skills training group or wait-list control. 4 components: development of positive identity and social support, safer sex education, eroticizing safer sex, negotiation.	Baseline and 3 mo follow-up. 46% reduction in expected number of partners at follow-up for intervention group. Chinese and Filipino men reduced UAI by more than 50%. <u>Comment:</u> The stats for change in number of partners in past 3 mos. are odd and I don't understand Poisson modeling well enough to understand them. Avg. change for experimental group -.28 (median 0, range -25 to +45) compared with +13.9 for controls (median 0, range -15 to +98). Poisson model shows 46% reduction in expected number of partners at follow-up.
Coates TJ, McKusick L et al. (1989). Stress-reduction training changed numbers of sexual partners but not immune function in men with HIV. <i>American Journal of Public Health</i> 79: 885-7.		
	64 HIV+ gay men randomly assigned to 1) eight 2-hour weekly group stress reduction training session plus one all day retreat, or 2) a 2 month wait-list control.	At 2-month follow-up, experimental group had fewer sexual partners in the past month than control group (1.1 vs. 2.3).
D'Emaro JE, Quadland MC, et al. (1988). The '800 Men' project: a systematic evaluation of AIDS prevention programs demonstrating the efficacy of erotic, sexually explicit safer sex education on gay and bisexual men at risk of AIDS. <i>IV International Conference on AIDS</i> (Abstract 8086) Stockholm, Sweden.		
	619 participants placed into four programs; 1) safer sex written guidelines; 2) lecture/discussion on AIDS information and safer sex guidelines; 3) verbal and written presentation of eroticized safer sex guidelines; and 4) visual presentation of sexually explicit safer sex guidelines.	Participants in program 4 were most effective in reducing unsafe sex at 2-month follow-up.

Effective Interventions for Men Who Have Sex With Men

Group-Level (cont.)

Huerts M (2001) Hermonas de Luna y Sol: The Building of an Empowered Community. *First Annual CAPS Conference*, April 2001.

Latino	Intervention for Latino MSM engaging in UAI, mostly self-identified as gay or bisexual and born abroad. Program includes: 1) six-week discussion workshop with HIV prevention curriculum promoting social connectedness, critical thinking and exploration of factors and barriers that compete with safer sex intentions; 2) weekly discussion/support group for graduates of main program; and 3) individual, client – centered risk-reduction counseling to address individual prevention needs. Ethnically, culturally, and linguistically appropriate. Sessions addressed main issues Latino gay men face, exploring strategies for survival, sharing the role sex has in their lives, emotional challenges, exploring AIDS impact on their lives, and exploring diversity.	Preliminary evaluation data show increased condom use for anal sex, self-esteem, and social networks.
--------	--	---

Kalichman SC, Rompa D, Cage M, et al. (2001). Effectiveness of an Intervention to Reduce HIV Transmission Risks in HIV-Positive People. *Am J Prev Med* 21(2): 84-92.

HIV+ and African-American	233 men and 99 women living with HIV/AIDS randomly assigned to receive either 1) a five-session group intervention focused on strategies for practicing safer sexual behavior, or 2) a five-session, contact-matched, health-maintenance support group (standard-of-care comparison). 74% of participants were African-American. Based on Social Cognitive Theory, emphasizing building behavioral skills, enhancing self-efficacy for practicing risk-reduction behaviors, promoting intentions to change, and developing strategies for change. Framed intervention content within context of managing stress related to HIV disclosure and practicing safer sexual behavior. The five 120-minute sessions were delivered at the rate of two per week. Used gender-specific presentations.	Outcomes measured immediately post intervention, 3 months, and 6 months. 78% retention at 6 months. At 6-month follow-up, intervention group reported fewer HIV-negative partners, less unprotected anal and vaginal intercourse, and greater condom use.
---------------------------	--	---

Effective Interventions for Men Who Have Sex With Men

Group-Level (cont.)

Kelly JA, St. Lawrence JS et al. (1989). Behavioral intervention to reduce AIDS risk activities. <i>Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology</i> 57: 60-7.		
	104 participants randomly assigned to 1) 12 weekly sessions, 75-90 min small group counseling which provided AIDS risk information, behavioral self-management, assertiveness training, and relationship-building skills or 2) a wait-list control	Skills training resulted in less unprotected anal sex (mean=2.3 for experimental group; 3.3 for control group) and higher condom use during anal sex in the past 4 months (experimental group used condoms during 66% of all anal episodes; 19% for control group). Behavior change maintained at 8-month follow-up
Kelly JA, St. Lawrence JS et al. (1990). A skills-training group intervention model to assist persons in reducing risk behaviors for HIV infection. <i>Education and Prevention</i> 2: 24-35.		
	Purpose of study to evaluate impact of more abbreviated intervention than Kelly et al. (1989) above. 15 participants received 7 small group sessions, 60-90 min each. Covered AIDS risk information, behavioral self-management, assertiveness training, pride and support issues. One 3-month follow-up booster session.	At 8-month follow-up, UAI in past 4 months fell from .93 to .21 mean occurrences. Proportion of all intercourse occasions where condoms used increased from 72% to 90%. Risk index (risky practices x no of partners) decreased from 4.7 to 1.4.
Peterson JL, Coates, TL et al. (1992). High-risk sexual behavior and condom use among gay and bisexual African-American men. <i>American Journal of Public Health</i> 82: 1490-4.		
POC (African-Am)	318 African-American MSM in SF from 1989-1991. Randomly assigned to 1- session, 3-session, or wait-list control group. 3-session non-peer mediated counseling consisted of 3-hour group sessions one week apart with 10 participants in each group. Components: self identity and development of social support, AIDS risk education, assertiveness training, behavioral commitment. Attendance problems: 53% of men in 3-session attended at least 1 session (12%, 16%, 25% respectively). 45% of men in 1-session group attended.	Participants in 3-session intervention showed significant reduction in UAI at both 12 and 18-month follow-ups. Reduction from baseline was 45% to 20%. Risk behavior in control group remained constant and declined only slightly in 1-session group. <u>Comment:</u> In spite of blocked randomization, control group was much less risky at baseline. No significant differences between control group and 3-session at follow-ups.

Effective Interventions for Men Who Have Sex With Men

Group-Level (cont.)

Rosser SBR, Bockting WO, Rugg DL, et al. (2002). A Randomized Controlled Intervention Trial of a Sexual Health Approach to Long-Term HIV Risk Reduction for Men Who Have Sex with Men: Effects of the Intervention on Unsafe Sexual Behavior. <i>AIDS Education and Prevention</i> 14, Supplement A: 59-71.		
	Sexual Health approach (“an approach to sexuality founded in accurate knowledge, personal awareness and self-acceptance and in which one’s behavior, values, and emotions are congruent and integrated into one’s personality and self-definition”). N=422 Midwestern MSM. Random assignment to either 1) 2-day comprehensive human sexuality seminar designed to contextually address long-term risk factors and cofactors or 2) control group who watched 3 hours of HIV prevention videos. (Only 17% attrition at 12-month follow-up, but ultimately only 40% completed all questions necessary for inclusion in analysis. Prevalence of unsafe sex at baseline only 14.2%)	Risk behaviors in preceding 3 months measured at baseline, 3 months, and 12 months. Measured any UAI outside of long-term seroconcordant relationship. At 12 months, control group reported 29% decrease in use of condoms during anal intercourse; intervention group reported 8% increase in condom use. Both groups appear to be making contextual decisions about risk (engaging in UAI when they have estimated the risk is low).
Rotheram-Borus, MJ, Reid H et al. (1994) Factors mediating changes in sexual HIV risk behaviors among gay and bisexual male adolescents. <i>American Journal of Public Health</i> 84:1938-1946.		
Youth/ Street	138 participated, age range 14-19. 20-session intervention, 90-120 min/session, offered 2-3 times/week after school. Non-peer led with HIV information, coping, skills training, access to health care, social support, private counseling. 20 session intervention, 90-120 min. each, 10 youth per session. No control group.	Follow-up at 3,6,12 months. Protected AI increased from 60% to 78%. Less risk in past, no commercial sex work, and attending more sessions = more risk reduction. Of racial/ethnic groups African-Am reduced risk most (PAI increased from 36% to 84%). <u>Comment:</u> Complicated multivariate analysis, hard to summarize adequately.

Effective Interventions for Men Who Have Sex With Men

Group-Level (cont.)

Rotheram-Borus MJ, Lee MB, Murphy DA et al. (2001) Efficacy of a prevention intervention for youths living with HIV. <i>American Journal of Public Health</i> 91: 400-5.		
Youth/ HIV+ (mostly MSM)	310 youths, 72% male (mostly MSM) and 28% female, aged 13-24, 27% African-Am and 37% Latino. Study conducted at 9 adolescent clinical care sites in 4 cities. Assigned by small cohort to a 2-module (“Stay Healthy” and “Act Safe”) intervention with 23 sessions or to a control condition. In intervention condition, 73% attended at least 1 session. Assessment of module 1 conducted 6 months after completion. Assessment of module 2 conducted 3 months after completion. Cohorts mixed according to sex. (Detailed manual available on web at http://chipts.ucla.edu .) Had difficulty getting youths to attend sessions.	Following “Stay Healthy” module, number of positive lifestyle changes and active coping styles increased among intervention females vs. control. Social support coping increased for all intervention clients vs. controls. Following “Act Safe” module, intervention youths reported 82% fewer unprotected sexual acts, 45% fewer sexual partners, 50% fewer HIV-negative partners, and 31% less substance use than controls..
Valdiseri RO, Lyter DW et al. (1989). AIDS Prevention in homosexual and bisexual men: results of a randomized trial evaluating two risk-reduction interventions. <i>AIDS</i> 3:21-6.		
	584 participants randomly assigned to 2 peer-led interventions: 1) a 1-session, 60-90 min small group lecture on HIV transmission, clinical manifestations of HIV infection, condom use, and meaning of HIV antibody test results or 2) small group lecture plus 50 min. skills training on safer sex negotiation.	Condom use during insertive AI higher among skills training (36% at baseline, 69% at 6-month follow-up, and 80% at 12 months than among single lecture group (44% at baseline, 43% at 6 months and 55% at 12 months). No difference in condom use during receptive AI at both follow-ups. Assessment of cost effectiveness showed cost savings from program. Results robust to changes in modeling assumptions (Pinkerton SD, Holtgrave DR, Valdiseri RO (1997). Cost-effectiveness of HIV-prevention skills training for men who have sex with men. <i>AIDS</i> 11: 347-357).

Effective Interventions for Men Who Have Sex With Men

Community-Level

AIDS Community Demonstration Projects Research Group (1999). Community-Level HIV Intervention in 5 cities: Final Outcome Data From the CDC AIDS Community Demonstration Projects. *American Journal of Public Health*: 89, 336-345.

Non-Gay-Identified	<p><i>Community Promise</i> (Peers Reaching Out and Modeling Intervention Strategies) is included on CDC's Replicating Effective Programs web page (http://www.cdc.gov/hiv/projects/rep/promise.htm).</p> <p>Populations that intervention used with: <i>injection drug users, their female sex partners, sex workers, non-gay identified men who have sex with men, high risk youth and residents in areas with high rates of sexually transmitted disease</i>. Persons from the at-risk communities are recruited and trained to be community advocates and to distribute role model stories and risk reduction supplies on the streets of their communities. Role model stories are personal accounts from individuals in the target population explaining how and why they took steps to practice HIV risk-reduction behaviors and the positive effects the choice has had on their lives. The messages in the role model stories are reinforced by interpersonal communication with the community advocates. Each week, community advocates distribute stories and supplies to 10 to 20 of their peers.</p>	<p>Communities where Community PROMISE was conducted showed increased consistent condom use by community members with their main and non-main partners and increased condom carrying among members of the communities.</p>
--------------------	--	--

Effective Interventions for Men Who Have Sex With Men

Community-Level (cont.)

Kelly JA, St. Lawrence JS et al. (1992). Community AIDS/HIV risk reduction: The effects of endorsements by popular people in three cities. *American Journal of Public Health* 82: 1483-9.

	Trained 924 opinion leaders (POLs) in an intervention city. Lagged implementation into 2 other cities. Surveyed bar patrons in all 3 cities at same time points. POLs received 4 sessions, 90 minutes each, covered HIV education and communication strategies. POLs then agreed to have 14 peer conversations about AIDS risk reduction (personal endorsement). Study conducted from 1989-1991.	Significant reductions in the mean % of men who practiced UAI in Biloxi (24% at 3 month follow-up) and Monroe (21%) but the 15% decline observed in Hattiesburg insignificant. Also, significant change in the % of men with multiple sexual partners. At 3-year follow-up, reductions in UAI and increases in condom use continued to occur (St Lawrence JS, Brasfield TL, Diaz YE, et al. (1994) Three-year follow-up of an HIV risk-reduction intervention that used popular peers [letter]. <i>American Journal of Public Health</i> 84: 2027-2028.).
--	--	---

Kelly JA, Winett RA et al. (1993). Social diffusion models can produce population-level HIV risk-behavior reduction: field trial results and mechanisms underlying change. *IX International Conference on AIDS/IV STD World Conference* Berlin, Germany (Abstract POC23-3167).

	For a 5-week period, trained opinion leader in four experimental cities engaged in peer conversations about the benefits and appropriateness of risk behavior and change, strategies to implement change, and risk misconception at local gay bars. Four matched cities were selected as control. 701 participants. (See also Kelly JA, Murphy DA, Sikkema KJ, et al. (1997) Community HIV Prevention Research Collaborative: randomized, controlled community-level intervention for sexual risk behaviour among homosexual men in US cities. <i>Lancet</i> 350: 1500-1505.)	The community intervention led to decreased proportions of men who engaged in any UAI (from 33% at baseline to 25% at 9 month follow-up), unprotected insertive anal sex (27% to 17%), and unprotected receptive anal sex (22% to 16%) in the experimental relative to control cities (little change observed at the follow-up).
--	---	--

Effective Interventions for Men Who Have Sex With Men

Community-Level (cont.)

Kegeles SM, Hays RB et al. (1996) The Mpowerment Project: A community-level HIV prevention intervention for young gay and bisexual men. <i>American Journal of Public Health</i> 86: 1129-36.		
Young Gay men (18-29)	Peer-led program with three components: outreach (formal and informal), small group and publicity campaign. Program run by Core Group and community advisory board of “elders”. Groups were one-time 3-hour small group meetings (8-10 people), which focused on safer sex and HIV information, communication and interpersonal skills. Independently from the prevention program, a cohort of young gay men (n=300) surveyed in intervention and comparison community. Wait-list control design.	Reduction in all UAI from 41% to 30%, from 20.2% to 11.2% with non-primary partners and from 58.9% to 44.7% with boyfriends. No significant changes in comparison community. Reductions sustained 1 year later with non-primary partners, mixed results for sex with boyfriends (Kegeles SM, Hays RB, Pollack LM, Coates TJ (1999) Mobilizing young gay and bisexual men for HIV prevention: a two-community study. <i>AIDS</i> 13: 1753-1762.). 87% of intervention community respondents had heard of project and 77% had experienced at least two project activities. High risk-taking men less likely to attend small groups, volunteer for outreach, or be Core Group member. Cost-effectiveness data: Kahn JG, Kegeles SM, Hays R, Beltzer N (2001). Cost-effectiveness of the Mpowerment Project, a community-level intervention for young gay men. <i>JAIDS</i> 27(5): 482-91.

Effective Interventions for Men Who Have Sex With Men

Street and Community Outreach

Hospers HJ, Debets W, ross MW, and Kok G (1999). Evaluation of an HIV prevention intervention for men who have sex with men at cruising areas in the Netherlands. *Aids and Behavior* 3: 359-366.

	Program in the Netherlands that trains volunteers to go into cruising areas (CA) to talk with CA visitors about importance of safer sex. Give risk information, explain why safer sex important, brochure, condom and lube. No conversations with visitors that didn't want to talk.	Post-intervention survey of people who said had at least one conversation with a volunteer (conversation group, n=172)) and those who hadn't been approached but would have had a conversation (no conversation control group, n=190). Conversation group had significantly higher condom use for insertive and receptive AI. MSM increased condom use more than MSMW. Conversations had no effect on intention to use condoms for AI.
--	--	--

HIV Antibody Counseling & Testing

Higgins DL, C Galavotti et al. (1991) Evidence for the Effects of HIV Antibody Counseling and Testing on Risk Behaviors. *Journal of American Medical Association* 266(17): 2419-2429.

	Overall review of 50 C&T studies. 17 of these look at effect of C&T on behavior change (condom use, reduction of sexual partners) of MSM.	For MSM: All studies reported risk reduction among tested and untested men, a few reported greater decreases in seropositive than seronegative. States that it is hard to draw firm conclusions about impact of C&T on MSM risk behavior.
--	---	---

Effective Interventions for Men Who Have Sex With Men

Drug Treatment

Shoptaw S, Reback CJ, Frosch DL, Rawson RA (1998). Stimulant Abuse Treatment as HIV Prevention. *Journal of Addictive Diseases* 17(4): 19-32.

Non-IDU drug users	Individuals who use illicit stimulants, primarily cocaine and methamphetamine, engage in substantial amounts of HIV-related sexual risk behaviors when under the influence. This paper presents the idea that reductions in stimulant use consequent to drug treatment makes stimulant drug treatment an important HIV prevention tool for this high-risk population.	Presents data to describe HIV-related sexual risks reported by out-of-treatment methamphetamine users and by cocaine and methamphetamine abusers at treatment entry and six months post treatment entry. Overall, findings demonstrate that following initiation of a treatment episode, stimulant abusers demonstrate significant reductions in HIV-related sexual behaviors, primarily by reducing the number of sexual partners.
--------------------	---	---

Stall R, Paul JP, Barrett DC, Crosby GM, Bein E (1999). An Outcome Evaluation to Measure Changes in Sexual Risk-Taking among Gay Men Undergoing Substance Use Disorder Treatment. *Journal of Studies on Alcohol* 60: 837-845.

Non-IDU drug users	Men recruited as they entered substance use treatment. Five waves of data collection, each wave measuring the previous 90 days. 82 men assigned to the experimental condition (treatment plus a safe sex intervention); 65 were assigned to the regular substance use treatment. CONCLUSIONS: (1) substantial HIV risk reductions can occur after initiation of treatment for substance use; (2) risk reductions begin soon after treatment begins; (3) lapses to unsafe sex are common; (4) continued UAI most likely among those men who are riskier at intake, who continue to be more sexually active and who combine substance use and sexual behavior; (5) AIDS prevention activities conducted at treatment agencies cannot reach all high-risk substance-using gay men.	Although levels of risk within each wave were never significantly different between the two treatment groups, reductions in unprotected anal intercourse (UAI) with a nonmonogamous partner for both groups from the baseline Wave-1 levels were uniformly significant. Such high-risk sex in the year-long follow-up period was correlated with UAI reported at intake, enjoyment of UAI, relative youth, heavier concurrent use of alcohol or amphetamines and greater numbers of sexual partners.
--------------------	---	--

No reviews on Mass & Other Media, Social Marketing, Hotlines, Clearinghouse, or Partner Notification